



SYNTHETIC AUXIN HERBICIDE

APPLICATOR TRAINING PROGRAM

Common Methods of Off-Target Movement

Module 2

In this module:

- Details and best management practices to help you prevent offtarget movement due to:
 - Physical drift
 - Secondary drift
 - Tank contamination





Which of these factors can influence off-target movement of pesticides?

Physical Drift

Call out the items you think could cause off-target movement

Secondary Drift & Movement

Tank Contamination

Wind speed

Nozzle type

Droplet size

Sprayer speed

Boom height

Herbicide Temperature

Dust

Water runoff

Tank type

Hose type

Tank cleanout











Physical Drift

Definition and How it Occurs

- -:- Physical drift occurs when the droplets leaving the sprayer do not reach the intended target
- --- Physical drift is influenced by:
 - Wind speed
 - Boom height
 - Nozzle Selection
 - Droplet Size
 - Sprayer Speed







Physical Drift

Distinguishing Characteristics

Physical drift can <u>usually</u> be distinguished as clear patterns of injury that are more severe closest to the spray source.

© Dr. Kevin Bradley, University of Missouri





Important!

Do Not Rely on Formulation Alone to Prevent Drift



Droplet size

Sprayer speed Boom height

© Dr. Kevin Bradley, University of Missouri





Wind Speed

Sources of Physical Drift



Always read and follow the labeled wind-speed requirements!

Diagram Provided by Dr. Greg Kruger, University of Nebraska





Historical Wind Speeds

Example: Hourly Average Wind Speeds in Southeast Missouri by Month*



*Hourly wind-speed averaged from the years 2000 to 2015





Historical Wind Speeds

Example: Hourly Average Wind Speeds in Northwest Missouri by Month*



*Hourly wind-speed averaged from the years 2000 to 2015





How are you checking the wind speed?

Best Practice: Check Wind Speeds at the Site of Application







Nozzles and Droplet Size

Sources of Physical Drift



Relationship of Nozzle Type and Droplet Size

Comparison of Two Different Nozzle Types



Extended Range Flat Fan Spray Tip

Turbo TeeJet Induction Nozzle

Videos Provided by Dr. Greg Kruger, University of Nebraska





Droplet Sizes in Real World Terms

Comparison of Droplet Sizes with Familiar Objects







Droplet Size to Weight Relationship

Result of Doubling the Diameter of a Spray Drop



2X Increase in Diameter = 8X Increase in Weight!

Illustration Provided By Monsanto





How far will spray particles move?

Relationship of Droplet Size to Distance Traveled

| Droplet Size | Diameter (in µm) | Time to fall 10 ft | Travel distance in 3 mph wind |
|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Fog | 5 | 66 min | 15,840 ft |
| Very fine | 20 | 4.2 min | 1,100 ft |
| Fine | 100 | 10 sec | 44 ft |
| Medium | 240 | 6 sec | 28 ft |
| Coarse | 400 | 2 sec | 8.5 ft |

Bottom line? Using nozzles that produce droplets smaller than the labeled requirements will likely cause significant problems with drift!





Sprayer Speed

Sources of Physical Drift







Influence of Sprayer Speed on Spray Drift Deposition*

Increasing Tractor Speed Can Increase Drift Potential







Boom Height

Sources of Physical Drift







Boom Height

Increasing Boom Height Can Increase Drift Potential



Always read and follow the labeled boom height requirements!

Illustration Provided by Dr. Greg Kruger, University of Nebraska





Common Methods of Off-Target Movement

Secondary Drift & Movement

Physical Drift

Tank Contamination

Wind speed

Nozzle type

Droplet size

Sprayer speed

Boom height

Herbicide Temperature

Dust

Water runoff

Tank type

Hose type

Tank cleanout





Herbicide Volatility

Definition and How it Occurs

- -- Occurs when the herbicide lands on the intended target, but evaporates and moves off-target before absorption
- -:- Injury due to volatility is less discernable than injury due to physical drift
- -- New formulations reduce, but do not eliminate, drift due to herbicide volatility







Factors that Influence Herbicide Volatility

2,4-D and dicamba volatility are influenced by:

| Temperatures: | Higher temperatures generally leads to 个 volatility | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| Humidity: | Lower humidity generally leads to 个 volatility | | |
| Surface: | Volatility is generally greater from leaves vs. soil | | |
| Formulation (salt): | Acids are generally the most volatile; only use approved formulations | | |
| Carrier Volume (GPA): | Lower carrier volumes lead to \uparrow volatility | | |
| Droplet Size: | Fine droplets can result in ↑ volatility than coarse or ultra coarse droplets | | |
| Tank Mixes: | Other products can 个 volatility of specific herbicides (e.g., AMS can increase the volatility of dicamba) | | |





The Salt in the Formulation Matters

Example: Relative Volatility of Engenia



In trials, Engenia exhibited 70% lower volatility relative to DGA-based Dicamba.

Chart Provided by BASF





The Salt in the Formulation Matters

Comparison of Three 2,4-D Formulations with Different Salts



Source: Sosnoskie, et al. (2015)





Low Volatility ≠ Zero Volatility

Soybean "Indicator Plant" Response following Application of Engenia and XtendiMax



Hours After Treatment: 0 0.5-2 2-8 8-16 16-24 24-72

*Photos taken 21 days after infield application





Temperature Inversions

Sources of Secondary Drift

- During an inversion, herbicide droplets may be trapped in air masses that settle-in above the Earth's surface
- -:- If the air mass moves, the trapped herbicide droplets may land off-target when it dissipates









Recognizing Temperature Inversions

Conditions, Indicators, and Duration

--- Usual conditions at onset:

- Sunset
- Clear to partly cloudy skies
- Light winds

--- Often indicated by:

- Ground fog
- Smoke not rising
- Dust hanging over road
- Dew or frost
- -- May continue until surface temperature and wind increase









Temperature Inversions in Missouri

Example: Frequency and Timing of Surface Inversions in Southeast Missouri

| | Number of Inversions ^a | | Typical S | Typical Start Time | |
|-------|--------------------------------------|------|----------------|--------------------|--|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | |
| March | 21 | 22 | 4:00-5:00 p.m. | 5:00-6:00 p.m. | |
| April | 23 | 27 | 4:00-5:00 p.m. | 5:00-6:00 p.m. | |
| May | 17 | 25 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 6:00-7:00 p.m. | |
| June | 16 | 24 | 5:00-6:00 p.m. | 6:00-7:00 p.m. | |
| July | 22 | 20 | 6:00-7:00 p.m. | 7:00-8:00 p.m. | |

^aInversions were classified as air temp at 46 cm above surface < air temp at 168 cm < air temp at 305 cm; temperature differences had to occur for > 1 hour in duration and intensity had to be > 1.0°C between 305 and 46 cm air temperatures.





Temperature Inversions in Missouri

Example: Frequency and Timing of Surface Inversions in Northwest Missouri

| | Number of Inversions ^a | | Typical Start Time ^b | |
|-------|--------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| March | 24 | 15 | 5:00 to 6:00 p.m. | 5:00 to 6:00 p.m. |
| April | 23 | 13 | 6:00 to 7:00 p.m. | 6:00 to 7:00 p.m. |
| May | 15 | 24 | 6:00 to 7:00 p.m. | 6:00 to 7:00 p.m. |
| June | 13 | 29 | 6:00 to 7:00 p.m. | 6:00 to 7:00 p.m. |
| July | 12 | 14 | 6:00 to 8:00 p.m. | 7:00 to 8:00 p.m. |

^aInversions were classified as air temp at 46 cm above surface < air temp at 168 cm < air

temp at 305 cm; temperature differences had to occur for > 1 hour in duration and intensity had to be > 1.0° C between 305 and 46 cm air temperatures.

^bMode was used to determine typical start times





Detecting Surface Inversions

Using Smoke Grenades to Validate Inversion Conditions







Real Time Monitoring for Inversion-like Conditions

mesonet.missouri.edu



Funding for this project made possible by the Missouri Soybean Merchandising Council





Real Time Monitoring for Inversion-like Conditions

mesonet.missouri.edu





Graph Description:

Little to No Inversion Potential: line is vertical or slants leftward, i.e. | or \

Inversion Potential:

line slants rightward, i.e. / (The more the line leans rightward, the greater the potential for inversion existing)

American Meteorological Society definition of

Temperature Inversion

Disclaimer





Dust and Water Movement

Sources of Secondary Drift

-- Excessive dust can carry herbicide particles away from the intended target

 Heavy rainfall events can cause movement due to runoff from nearby fields







Common Methods of Off-Target Movement

Secondary Drift & Movement

Physical Drift

Tank Contamination

Wind speed

Nozzle type

Droplet size

Sprayer speed

Boom height

Herbicide

Temperature

Dust

Water runoff

Tank type

Hose type

Tank cleanout





Spray Tank Contamination

Tank Contamination Can Lead to Crop Injury



Leaving as little as 8 fl oz of solution in a 1,200 gallon spray tank can result in **significant injury** to a subsequent sensitive soybean variety!

8 oz





Spray Tank Cleanout Procedures

Improper Cleanout Procedures can Lead to Yield Loss

Comparison of Three Equipment Cleanout Procedures Following Dicamba Application



Non-treated control





Double Rinse 1st rinse water; 2nd rinse ammonia



Triple Rinse 1st rinse water; 2nd rinse ammonia; 3rd rinse water

Yield: 48Bu/A

37 Bu/A

44 Bu/A

48 Bu/A





Common Methods of Off-Target Movement

Which of these do you need to be more mindful of during the upcoming application season?

Physical Drift

Secondary Drift & Movement

Tank Contamination

Wind speed

Nozzle type

Droplet size

Sprayer speed

Boom height

Herbicide Temperature

Dust

Water runoff

Tank type

Hose type

Tank cleanout





SYNTHETIC AUXIN HERBICIDE

APPLICATOR TRAINING PROGRAM

Common Methods of Off-Target Movement

Module 2

In this module:

- Details and best management practices to help you prevent offtarget movement due to:
 - Physical drift
 - Secondary drift
 - Tank contamination





Acknowledgements

Module Authors

- ----- Dr. Kevin Bradley
- Dr. Mandy Bish
- Division of Plant Sciences
- --- University of Missouri-Columbia



Other Contributors

- Dr. Stanley Culpepper, University of Georgia
- Dr. Greg Kruger, University of Nebraska
- Dr. Larry Steckel, University of Tennessee
- Missouri Department of Agriculture

- Sources

- Behrens, R. & Lueschen, W. E. (1979). Dicamba Volatility. *Weed Science*, *27*(5), 486-493.
- Bish, M. D., & Bradley, K. W. (2017). Survey of Missouri Pesticide Applicator Practices, Knowledge, and Perceptions. *Weed Technology*, *31*(2), 165-177.
- Hofman, V. & Solseng, E. (2017). Reducing spray drift. *NDSU Extension Service, AE-1210.*
- Long, J. & Young, B. (2017). Master's thesis. Purdue University, Indiana, United States.
- Sosnoskie, L. M., Culpepper, A. S., Braxton, L. B., & Richburg, J. S. (2015). Evaluating the volatility of three formulations of 2, 4-D when applied in the field. *Weed technology*, *29*(2), 177-184.
- Van de Zande, Jan & Stallinga, H & Michielsen, J.M.G.P. & Van, Velde,. (2004). Effect of Sprayer Speed on Spray Drift. Ann. Rev. Agric. Eng.. 4. .