

# ECONOMIC VIABILITY OF US SWINE FARMS IMPLEMENTING WATER QUALITY BEST AVAILABLE TECHNOLOGIES

## Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	1
<b><u>CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION</u></b> .....	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Index .....	1-1
1.2 The Research Team .....	1-1
1.3 Background .....	1-2
1.4 Report Objectives .....	1-2
1.5 Intention of the “phosphorus rule” .....	1-5
1.6 References.....	1-7
<b><u>CHAPTER 2 – FEASIBILITY OF ADOPTING PHOSPHORUS-ROTATION LIMITS VERSUS NITROGEN LIMITS FOR MANURE APPLICATION</u></b> .....	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Index .....	2-1
2.2 Executive summary .....	2-2
2.3 Introduction .....	2-4
2.4 Materials and Methods .....	2-6
2.5 Results and Discussion .....	2-11
2.5.1 Proportional increase in land requirements .....	2-11
2.5.2 Quantity of additional land required.....	2-12
2.5.3 Time Effects Model.....	2-14
2.6 References.....	2-18
2.7 Figures .....	2-18
<b><u>CHAPTER 3 – THE FEASIBILITY OF ADOPTING ANNUAL VERSUS ROTATIONAL PHOSPHORUS LIMITS FOR MANURE APPLICATION</u></b> .....	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 Index .....	3-1
3.2 Executive Summary.....	3-2
3.3 Introduction .....	3-4
3.4 Phosphorus-based strategies for manure application .....	3-4
3.5 Materials and Methods .....	3-6
3.6 Results and Discussion .....	3-7
3.6.1 Plant and manure factors .....	3-7
3.6.2 Land application equipment factors.....	3-10
3.6.3 Feasibility of annual phosphorus rates.....	3-14
3.6.4 Time Effects.....	3-17
3.6.5 Fertilization Effects .....	3-19
3.6.6 Water quality effects .....	3-20

3.6.7 Summary .....	3-20
3.6.8 Conclusion.....	3-21
3.7 References.....	3-22
3.8 Figures .....	3-22

**CHAPTER 4 – ON-FARM EVALUATION OF ADOPTING PHOSPHORUS VERSUS NITROGEN LIMITS FOR MANURE APPLICATION ON U.S. SWINE OPERATIONS**

.....	4-1
4.1 Index .....	4-1
4.2 Executive Summary .....	4-3
4.3 Introduction .....	4-4
4.4 Methods and Materials .....	4-5
4.4.1 Simulation Model.....	4-5
4.4.2 Fieldwork days .....	4-9
4.4.3 Pumpable Nitrogen and Phosphorus Estimation .....	4-10
4.5 Results and Discussion .....	4-10
4.5.1 Current Manure Management Practices.....	4-11
4.5.1.1 Size effects on nutrient production and utilization....	4-11
4.5.1.2 Manure storage effects on nutrient utilization and land application costs.....	4-13
4.5.1.3 Feasibility of land application equipment .....	4-14
4.5.1.4 Travel distance and time of application effects .....	4-16
4.5.1.5 Land Application Technology Effects On Manure Application Cost .....	4-17
4.5.1.6 Indicators of economic viability of current management practices .....	4-19
4.5.2 Phosphorus-based manure applications .....	4-21
4.5.2.1 Feasibility of manure application rate .....	4-21
4.5.2.2 Land requirements .....	4-26
4.5.2.3 Time effects.....	4-29
4.5.2.4 Nutrient management planning.....	4-32
4.5.2.5 Impact on economics Indicators of Economic Viability After Adopting Phosphorus Limits .....	4-32
4.6 References.....	4-35
4.7 Figures.....	4-36
4.8 Appendices.....	43
4.8.1 Characteristics of Iowa and Missouri Operations .....	43
4.8.2 Characteristics of North Carolina and Oklahoma Operations .....	44
4.8.3 Characteristics of Pennsylvania Operations .....	45

**CHAPTER 5 – THE TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY OF THE SWINE INDUSTRY**

<b><u>MEETING A “ZERO DISCHARGE” REQUIREMENT</u></b> .....	5-1
5.1 Index .....	5-1
5.2 Executive Summary.....	5-3
5.3 Understanding of “Zero Discharge” Requirement.....	5-5

<b>5.4 Selected Modification Strategies for Anaerobic Lagoons and Earthen Slurry Storages</b> .....	<b>5-6</b>
<b>5.4.1 Improved Water Management</b> .....	<b>5-6</b>
<b>5.4.2 Impermeable Covers</b> .....	<b>5-8</b>
<b>5.4.2.1 General Operation of an Anaerobic Lagoon with an Impermeable Cover</b> .....	<b>5-8</b>
<b>5.4.2.2 Technical Feasibility of Implementing Impermeable Lagoon Covers</b> .....	<b>5-9</b>
<b>5.4.3 Additional Storage</b> .....	<b>5-23</b>
<b>5.4.3.1 Second Storage Cell</b> .....	<b>5-23</b>
<b>5.4.3.2 Emergency Storage Cell</b> .....	<b>5-26</b>
<b>5.5 Issues Related to “Zero Discharge” Requirement</b> .....	<b>5-28</b>
<b>5.5.1 Outside Lots and Pasture Production</b> .....	<b>5-28</b>
<b>5.5.2 Dry Manure Systems</b> .....	<b>5-28</b>
<b>5.5.3 Enclosed Treatment Systems</b> .....	<b>5-29</b>
<b>5.6 Existing Systems Capable of Meeting “Zero Discharge” Requirement</b> .....	<b>5-30</b>
<b>5.6.1 Outside Covered Slurry Storage</b> .....	<b>5-30</b>
<b>5.6.2 Under-building Slurry Storage</b> .....	<b>5-31</b>
<b>5.6.3 Other Systems</b> .....	<b>5-31</b>

**CHAPTER 6 – AGRONOMIC AND ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF CONVERTING MANURE SYSTEMS**.....

<b>6.1 Index</b> .....	<b>6-1</b>
<b>6.2 Executive Summary</b> .....	<b>6-2</b>
<b>6.3 Introduction</b> .....	<b>6-3</b>
<b>6.4 Physical Characteristics of Lagoon Effluent Under an Impermeable Cover</b> .....	<b>6-3</b>
<b>6.5 Land Requirements</b> .....	<b>6-5</b>
<b>6.6 Managerial and Economic Considerations</b> .....	<b>6-7</b>
<b>6.6.1 Initial Investment</b> .....	<b>6-8</b>
<b>6.6.2 Nutrient Value</b> .....	<b>6-9</b>
<b>6.6.3 Annual Cost of Covered Lagoons</b> .....	<b>6-10</b>
<b>6.7 Alternative methods of Complying with the Zero Discharge Rule</b> .....	<b>6-13</b>
<b>6.7.1 Second Storage Cells</b> .....	<b>6-13</b>
<b>6.7.2 Emergency Storage Cells</b> .....	<b>6-15</b>
<b>6.7.3 Converting to Slurry Storage Tanks</b> .....	<b>6-17</b>

**CHAPTER 7 – CO-PERMITTING PROVISIONS IN THE PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE NPDES PERMIT REGULATION AND EFFLUENT**

<b><u>GUIDELINES AND STANDARDS FOR CAFOS</u></b> .....	<b>7-1</b>
<b>7.1 Index</b> .....	<b>7-1</b>
<b>7.2 Executive Summary</b> .....	<b>7-1</b>
<b>7.3 Introduction</b> .....	<b>7-2</b>
<b>7.4 Background</b> .....	<b>7-3</b>

<b>7.5 Entities Subject to Co-permitting .....</b>	<b>7-4</b>
<b>7.6 The Environmental Objectives of Co-permitting.....</b>	<b>7-5</b>
<b>7.7 Apparent Policy Objectives of Co-permitting.....</b>	<b>7-6</b>
<b>7.8 Comments Received by The EPA.....</b>	<b>7-6</b>
<b>7.9 Alternatives to Co-permitting.....</b>	<b>7-8</b>
<b>7.10 Other Concerns and Issues .....</b>	<b>7-9</b>