



**RESOURCE UTILIZATION IN HETEROTROPHIC  
VS  
AUTOTROPHIC MARINE SHRIMP PRODUCTION**



**D. E. Brune**  
**Professor of Bioprocess and Bioenergy Engineering**  
**University of Missouri, Columbia, Mo.**

# Biofloc = Special case of suspended-cell microbial culture

Algal to bacterial water treatment depending on level of external energy input;  
 feed and solar (algal up to 250- 300 lb-feed/ac-d),  
 Nitrifying at C/N of 9/1 (35% protein), Heterotrophic C/N of 12-15/1



## Overview of Aquaculture Intensification <sup>(1)</sup>

Name, Yield, Feed, Aeration, Solids, Microbial Type, Solids, Inception date

<b>SYSTEM</b>	<b>Yield lb/ac</b>	<b>Feed lb/ac-d</b>	<b>Aeration hp/ac</b>	<b>Type g-C/m<sup>2</sup>-d</b>	<b>VSS mg/l</b>	<b>Timeline</b>
Extensive	1,000-2,000	10-30	Wind	Algal (0.5-1)	10-20	1960
Semi- Intensive	4,000-6,000	50-100	1-2	Algal (2-3)	50-100	1980
Intensive pond	10,000-12,000	100-150	6-20	Mixed (3-4)	100+	1990
PAS/SP	15,000-19,000	200-250	7-10	Algal (6-12)	50-100	2000
Super heterotrophic	40,000+	1,000/600	60-80	Heterotrophic	300-400	2006
Super nitrifying	40,000+	1,000	50-60	Nitrification	300-400	2006
Rapid Removal	30,000-44,639	1,500	67-76	Intense Nitrification	70-80	2020

Aquaculture technology has advanced over 60 years; Fish/shellfish yields increased from farm-pond production of 1,000 to 2,000 lbs/acre-year to 40,000 to 50,000 lbs/acre-year in, year-round, climate-controlled, zero-discharge, recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS).

<sup>(1)</sup> Brune, D. E., Autotrophic and Heterotrophic Water Treatment in Semi-Intensive, Intensive and Super-Intensive Fish and Shrimp Culture, *The Shrimp Book II*, Victoria Alday-Sanz, Editor, 5M Press, 2022. High HP in SH and SN needed for mixing and aeration

# One Technique to Expand Production to Super-Intensive Levels; Biofloc Aquaculture

High levels of microbial solids (250-300 mg/l) within culture water



## Types of Biofloc Water Treatment

**Autotrophic Nitrification (Slow growth, low sludge production)**



**Heterotrophic Bacteria (Rapid growth, requires carbohydrate)**



# Nitrifying (Autotrophic) Water Treatment (40,000+ lb-shrimp/acre-cycle)



Feed (FCR = 1.5/1)  
32-36% protein

Ammonia  
Excretion  
65-75% of  
feed-N

→

Fecal Solids  
20 - 30% of  
Feed  
Nitrify = 10%  
of fecal



**Input C/N = 8-9/1**  
Solids = 0.3 + 0.03 = 0.33 lb-VS/lb shrimp

# Bacterial (Heterotrophic) Water Treatment (40,000+ lb-shrimp/acre-cycle)


Feed (FCR=1/5/1)  
32-36% Protein



Ammonia  
Excretion  
65-75% of  
feed-N



Fecal Solids  
30 % of feed  
50 % of sugar



**Input C/N =12-15/1**

Solids =  $0.3 + 0.43 = 0.73$  lb-VS/lb-Shrimp  
2.5-x Autotrophic solids production



Carbohydrate Addition  
(~ 85% of feed)


## Approximate Heterotrophic Carbohydrate Requirement

100 gm sugar ( $C_6H_{12}O_6$ ) yields 50 gm bacterial biomass (25 gm-C) at C/N of  $\sim 5.7/1$ , assimilating 4.38 gm-N/100 gm-sugar or **22.8 gm sugar required/gm-N**

250 shrimp/m<sup>2</sup> at 22 gm = 5,500 gm shrimp x 2-3% feed/day = 165 gm max-feed/day = **6.18 gm-N/l-day (165 gm feed x 36% protein x 16% N x 65% excreted)**

6.18 gm N x 22.8 gm sugar/gm-N = 140.9 gm sugar, or **85% of feed addition.**

# Growth of Marine Shrimp at 45,000+ lb-shrimp/acre Heterotrophic<sup>(1)</sup> vs Autotrophic<sup>(2)</sup> Treatment



**Shrimp stocked at 0.4-gm, harvested at 22-gm (20 count/jumbo)  
Requiring 90 days heterotrophic (unlimited growth) vs 120 days nitrifying**

<sup>1)</sup> Unlimited shrimp growth based on feeding rate from, Obaldo, L.G. & Masuda, R. 2006. Effect of diet size on feeding behavior and growth of pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Journal of Applied Aquaculture, 18: 101–110.

<sup>2)</sup> Autotrophic growth from field observations and model of inhibited growth from, Brune, D.E., Suspended Cell Biofloc for Shrimp Production, Presentation at North Central Regional Aquaculture Center Meeting, Columbus Ohio, 2020



## Representative Nitrifying vs Heterotrophic N-Uptake/Oxidation

**Maximum N addition rate** =  $250 \text{ shrimp/m}^2 \times 22 \text{ gm/shrimp} = 5,500 \text{ gm} \times 0.03/\text{day feed rate} \times 0.36 \text{ protein content} \times 0.16 \text{ nitrogen} \times 0.65 \text{ excreted} = 6.18 \text{ mg-N/l-day}$

**Typical heterotrophic** bacterial growth rate =  $0.3 \text{ lb-BOD}_5/\text{lb-VS-day}$ ; At  $250\text{-}300 \text{ mg/l VS} = 28\text{-}34 \text{ mg-C/l-day}$  corresponding to  $5.6\text{-}6.8 \text{ mg-N/l-day}$  ( $\text{BOD}_L/\text{N} \sim 20/1$ )

**Observed nitrifier yield** =  $0.2 \text{ gm VS/gm-N}$ , Seasonal feed application of  $308\text{-}410 \text{ gm-N/m}^2$  or  $308\text{-}410 \text{ mg-N/l}$  (in 1-meter deep culture), predicted nitrifier biomass =  $62\text{-}83 \text{ mg/l}$  (FCR =  $1.5/1$ , 36% protein, 65% excretion)

**Observed aquaculture** biofloc nitrifying oxidation rate<sup>(1)</sup> =  $0.02\text{-}0.05 \text{ mg-N/mg-VS-day}$  (20-50% of biomass). At  $0.05 \text{ mg-N/mg-VS-day} \times 62\text{-}83 \text{ mg/l}$ , N oxidation rate =  $3.1\text{-}4.0 \text{ mg N/l-day}$

Observed wastewater treatment nitrifying growth rate<sup>(2)</sup> =  $0.07\text{-}0.09 \text{ mg-N/mg-VS-day}$  (80-100% of biomass) At  $0.09 \text{ mg-N/l}$ , N oxidation rate =  $5.6 \text{ mg-}7.4 \text{ mg-N/l-day}$

**Typical aquaculture biofloc management fails to maximize nitrification treatment capacity**  
**Enhanced system (such as “rapid removal”) approaches waste-water nitrification capacity,**  
**however cost of enhanced bacterial biomass management is significantly higher**

1) Brune, D. E., Autotrophic and Heterotrophic Water Treatment in Semi-Intensive, Intensive and Super-Intensive Fish and Shrimp Culture, The Shrimp Book II, Victoria Alday-Sanz, Editor, 5M Press, 2022.

2) Metcalf & Eddy, Inc. (2003) Wastewater Engineering: Treatment and Reuse, 4th ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, NY

# The Drive to Zero-Discharge Aquaculture

Animal agriculture recovers only a small fraction of feed-N



79 - 88%  
nitrogen  
discharged  
as pollutant

Soy, corn & fish-meal  
nitrogen inputs

12 - 21% protein nitrogen  
converted to fish or  
shrimp

# **Economic Analysis Based on Prototype, Zero-Discharge, Controlled-Environment, Year-Round, Recirculating Aquaculture System on Private Farm in Missouri**



**Prefabricated, Concrete-filled  
PVC Wall-panels**



**Inside 6,000 ft<sup>2</sup> Insulated Metal  
Building on Concrete Pad**

## Shrimp vs Finfish (Bass) Carrying Capacity Resource Inputs vs Outputs

	<u>Bass</u>	<u>Auto-Shrimp</u>	<u>Hetero-Shrimp</u>
Harvest size	1.5 lb	22 gm (jumbo)	
Carrying Capacity			
Volumetric (1-meter deep)	0.5 lb/gallon	0.0458 lb/gallon	
Areal	<b>60 kg/m<sup>2</sup></b>	250/m <sup>2</sup> @ 22 gm = <b>5.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup></b>	
Crops/yr	1	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>
Yield/m <sup>2</sup> -yr	<b>60 kg/m<sup>2</sup>-yr</b>	<b>16.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>-yr</b>	<b>22.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>-yr</b>
Breakeven income	\$604.07/m <sup>2</sup> -yr	\$351.49/m <sup>2</sup> -yr	\$453.50/m <sup>2</sup> -yr
BI +15%	\$695.62/m <sup>2</sup> -yr	\$404.22/m <sup>2</sup> -yr	\$521.53/m <sup>2</sup> -yr
kwh/lb live wt)	<b>5 kwh/lb</b>	<b>15.2 kwh/lb</b>	<b>11.4 kwh/lb</b>
Input (protein)	0.75 lb/lb	0.54 lb/lb	0.54 lb/lb
Input (sugar)	0	0	0.84-1.3 lb/lb
Waste lb-VS/lb production)	0.31 lb/lb	0.33 lb/lb	0.74 lb/lb

# **Economics of Marine Shrimp vs Finfish (Bass)** Clean-water Nitrifying Biofilter vs Hetero/Auto Biofloc



## Production Cost (Fish vs Shrimp)

<b>Capital Costs (\$/lb)</b>	<b>Bass</b>	<b>Shrimp (Auto)</b>	<b>Shrimp(Hetero)</b>
Building	0.232	0.617	0.463
Heat Pump	0.111	0.296	0.222
Generator	0.035	0.093	0.069
Raceways	0.181	0.604	0.453
Filters	0.124	0.156	0.117
Aerators	0.082	0.272	0.204
Pumps	0.013	0.042	0.031
<b>Total Capital</b>	<b>0.778</b>	<b>2.080</b>	<b>1.557</b>
<b>Operating Costs (\$/lb)</b>			
Feed	1.500	1.080	1.080
Sugar	0	0	1.032
Animals	0.784	2.428	2.428
Aeration KWH	0.213	0.760	0.570
H/C KWH	0.286	0.760	0.570
Labor	0.638	2.122	1.592
<b>Total Operating</b>	<b>3.421</b>	<b>7.150</b>	<b>7.272</b>
<b>TOTAL COSTS</b>	<b>\$4.20/lb</b>	<b>\$9.23/lb</b>	<b>\$8.83/lb</b>

# Aquaculture Production Costs; Pond vs RAS

## Estimated Costs/Prices\* (\$/lb) for Whole/Processed Pond and RAS Products

<u>TYPE/YIELD</u>	<u>Break-Even (whole)</u>	<u>Farm-gate (whole)</u>	<u>Wholesale (processed)</u>	<u>Retail (processed)</u>
<b>POND</b>				
Catfish (0.32 lb fillet)	0.80-1.00/lb	0.85-1.25/lb	5.00-6.00/lb	8.00-11.00/lb
Shrimp (0.6 lb tails)	1.50-1.90/lb	2.00-3.00/lb	5.00-6.00/lb	5.00-12.00/lb
<b>RAS</b>				
Shrimp (0.6 lb tails)	4.00-8.25/lb			13.00-18.00/lb whole
Bass (0.32 lb fillet)	4.00-6.00/lb	5.00-6.00/lb	15.00-18.00/lb	20.00-28.00/lb

**Recirculating Systems Production Costs;** Marine shrimp = \$4.00-8.25/lb, Freshwater large-mouth bass = \$4.00-6.00/lb

**Typical Commodity Farm-Gate Prices;** Catfish = \$1.00/lb, Largemouth Bass = \$6.00/lb, Shrimp = \$3.00/lb


**Small Volume Niche Market Shrimp Prices;** Shrimp = \$13-18/lb

**Recirculating System Costs = 1-6X commodity price, 45-65% of niche market price**

\* Break-even costs dependent on scale, species, and system productivity. RAS cost/sales highly variable based on small sample size

# Energy Cost vs Type of Production System

Typical RAS finfish = 7-12 kwh/kg live wt. = 3.2 – 4.5 kwh/lb





# Summary

- Zero-discharge, controlled-climate, RAS production **costs** range from **\$4.20/lb (fish)** to **\$9.23/lb (marine shrimp)**
- Heterotrophic shrimp costs (\$8.83/lb) similar to autotrophic costs (\$9.23/lb), however, **heterotrophic produces ~2.5-X more sludge**, 0.74 lb sludge/lb shrimp vs autotrophic 0.33 lb-sludge/lb-shrimp, and **requires sugar supplementation** at 84% of feed.
- Heterotrophic shrimp production yields 22 kg/m<sup>2</sup>-yr vs autotrophic of 16.5 kg /m<sup>2</sup>-yr vs fish yields of 60 kg/m<sup>2</sup>-yr.
- Production energy requirements (per lb live wt) range from **5.0 kwh/lb (fish)** to **15 kwh/lb (shrimp)** as opposed to **8 kwh/lb (chicken)**, **24 kwh (pork)** and **35 kwh/lb (beef)**.
- **Profitability** of zero-discharge RAS will likely require **retail sales**
- Growers must bear costs of seafood holding, processing, transportation, packaging, and advertising/marketing to sell product directly to consumers

## **Presentations/Additional Resources**

### **MU Extension Aquaculture Website**

<https://extension.missouri.edu/programs/aquaculture-extension>

### **E-mail**

[bruned@missouri.edu](mailto:bruned@missouri.edu)