PHOTOSYNTHESIS IN ENHANCED CATFISH PRODUCTION SYSTEMS



D. E. Brune,* and **Caye M. Drapcho** *Professor of Bioprocess and Bioenergy Engineering University of Missouri, Columbia, Mo.

Enhanced Photosynthetic Aquaculture Production Systems

- 1) Partitioned Aquaculture System; 1/40, 1/3 and 2 acre systems at Clemson University
- 2) Split-Pond Prototypes at Stoneville MS; 5-7 acres
- 3) Intensively Aerated Ponds at Stoneville MS 1.0-4.0 acres
- 4) In-Pond Raceways at Auburn University; 4-6 acres





Prototype 1/40 acre Partitioned Aquaculture System; Cycle time = 10 - 30 min,

Water depth =2.2 ft Water velocity = 0.2-0.4 ft/sec. Alkalinity 60 -120 mg/l Algal cell age = 1.2-2.5 days (hydraulic detention) Effect of external inorganic carbon addition rate on algal productivity*

External inorganic C addition (mmol/l per day)	n	ACFIX** (g C/ m ² per day)	S.D.***	TSS** (mg/l)	S.D.***
0	52	5.386 ^a	1.986 ^a	27.12 ^a	10.68 ^a
0.6, 1.2	48	6.297 ^b	1.716 ^a	44.79 ^b	12.35 ^a

* Mean retention time is 1.5 day; water depth is 66 cm; water velocity is 6.2 cm/s.

** Means not sharing a common letter are significantly different using t-test (P<0.05).

*** Values not sharing common letter are significantly different using folded F statistic for testing equality of variances (P < 0.05).

Effect of retention time on algal productivity*

Retention time (days)	n	ACFIX** (g C/m ² per day)	S.D.***	TSS** (mg/l)	S.D.***
1.2	52	5.386 ^a	1.986 ^а	27.12 ^а	10.68 ^a
2.5	50	4.124 ^b	0.8422 ^ь	43.93 ^ь	9.009 ^a

* No external inorganic C addition, water depth is 66 cm, water velocity is 6.2 cm/s.

** Means not sharing common letter are significantly different using *t*-test (for equal variances) or Satterthwaite's *t* approximation (for unequal variances) (P < 0.05).

*** Values not sharing common letter are significantly different using folded F statistic testing equality of variances (P < 0.05).

Effect of water depth on algal productivity*

Water depth (cm)	n	ACFIX** (g C/m ² per day)	S.D.***	TSS** (mg/l)	S.D.***
34	26	7.721 ^a	1.264ª	105.3 ^а	16.75 ^a
66	26	6.287 ^b	1.598ª	44.00 ^ь	11.36 ^a

* Retention time is 1.7 days, external inorganic C addition is 1.2 mmol/l day, water velocity is 6.2 cm/s.

** Means not sharing a common letter are significantly different using t-test (P<0.05).

*** Values not sharing a common letter are significantly different using folded F statistic for testing equality of variances (P < 0.05).

Effect of mixing level on algal productivity*

Water velocity (cm/s)	n	ACFIX** (g C/m ² day)	S.D.***	TSS** (mg/l)	S.D.***
3.1, 6.2	92	6.487 ^a	2.124ª	48.29 ^a	16.51ª
12.5	44	9.889 ^b	2.794 ^b	65.91 ^b	18.49ª

* Retention time is 1.7 days; external inorganic C addition is 0.6-1.2 mmol/l per day; water depth is 66 cm.

** Means not sharing a common letter are significantly different using *t*-test (for equal variances) or Satterthwaite's *t* approximation (for unequal variances) (P < 0.05).

*** Values not sharing a common letter are significantly different using folded F statistic for testing equality of variances (P < 0.05).

*Variables examined = alkalinity, cell age, water depth, and water velocity

Most significant controllable variable (on photosynthesis) is water velocity

Increasing velocity from 0.2 to 0.4 fps increased algal production from 6.5 to 9.9 g-C/m²-day

*Drapcho, C. M., and D. E Brune, The Partitioned Aquaculture System; Impact of Design and Environmental Parameters on Algal Productivity and Photosynthetic Oxygen Production, Aquacultural Engineering, 21 (2000) 151-168 Representation of 1/3 acre PAS ;Typical water velocity = 0.4 ft/sec, Cycle time ~ 1 hr



Two-acre Partitioned Aquaculture System (PAS); Paddlewheels providing uniform water mixing/increased photosynthesis (in treatment zone) with cultured fish in high-density raceways.





Clemson University Two-Acre PAS averaging 2.3 hrs cycle-time in waste treatment zone (~ 0.38 fps velocity); Increased fish production ~ 18,000 lb/acre

		8			FEET FROM	PADDLE —		
		10	ų	150	250	350	425 400	- 000
Ţ	- T		• 0.48	• 0.29	• 0.38	• 0.40	-0.01 •	. 92
		0.05	+ 0,69 _{(A}	• 0,37	• 0,36	• 0,45 ca	0,25.	9003
		PA	• 0.52 👸	• 0.35	. 0.27	• 0.41 Ö	0.32• 👸	0.97
70	FT	B	• 0.45	• 0.26	- 0.19 E	• 0.49	0.37• 🗄 🥠	P. 6
	10.000	Ē	• 0.18 ^Z	• 0.25	ະ • 0.28 ເ	• 0.39 ^Z	0.41 • ^Z	• 0° \
			↓ 0.00	• 0.30	• 0.26	• 0.34	0.39 0	\
1			• -0.59	• 0.35	• 0.33	• 0.43	0.54	
350	1.0		0.11 •	0.04 -	-0.04 =	-0.04 •	-0.06	1 N N D 8 3
		5	0.33+	<u>هه</u> 0.24	• 12.0 <u>م</u>	<u>⊮</u> 0.05 •	N 0.06. V	SECTION Z
		12	0,50+	업 0.37 +	Ö 0.29+	G 0.21+	0.08· °,°	• /
		Ę	0.41 •	₽ 0.39•	i 0.58∎	₩ ^{0.81} •	j 1.04 • √ v	· /
		Ē	0.38-		2 0.63•	- 	6 1.04 · · · · ·	5.0.1
		ω	0.36+	0,48.	0.65-	1.05 -	0.91• °°	o vo
			-0.03	0.11 🔺	0.11 •	1.06 •	0.72•	60

2 PADDLE 3.4 rom

To take advantage of enhanced algal treatment in PAS, a lower cost version of the PAS, entitled the Split-Pond (SP), was installed at the Warm Water Aquaculture Center in Stoneville Mississippi in 2001.



Seven-Acre Split-Pond with Levee in Five-Acre Waste Treatment Zone using Culvert Pumps Delivering 8,000 gpm (11.8 hrs cycle time, $\sim 0.0 - 0.048$ fps)

Seven-Acre Split-Pond without Levee in Five-Acre Waste Treatment Zone using Paddlewheel Delivering 10,000 to 15,000 gpm Water Flow (9.4-6.3 hrs cycle time, $\sim 0.0-0.06$ fps)



Paddlewheel Used to Move Water in Split-Pond at NWAC.

Enhanced Photosynthetic Catfish Production Systems; Intensively Aerated Ponds



Intensively aerated ponds (IP) at NWAC/MS (2014-2018) demonstrated 9,000–15,000 lb/acre-yr catfish production in 1.0-4.0 acre ponds with fish confined to 100% of pond area⁽⁹⁾

Enhanced Photosynthetic Catfish Production Systems; In-Pond Raceways



In-Pond Raceways (IPR) at Auburn University (2006-2017) demonstrated 18,300 lb/acre catfish production in 6.0 acre pond with fish confined to 2.0% of pond area^(10,11)

Comparison of Oxygen Dynamics in PAS, Conventional Catfish Ponds, Split Ponds, and Intensively Aerated Ponds.

Oxygen (lb/acre-day)					
System	Surface	Fish	Photosynthesis	Sediment	Photo % of Fish
Clemson PAS	+72	-150	+180	-102	120 %
Conventional Pond	+40	-50	+32	-22	64 %
MS Split-Pond	+40	-180	+140	-76	78 %
MS IP	+80	-237	+157	-78	66 %

Catfish Production and Feed Application in PAS, Conventional Ponds, (CP), Intensively Aerated Ponds, (IP), and Split-Ponds (SP) with/without Dividing Levee within Treatment Zone (250 lbs-feed/acre-day ~ 4-6 gm-C/m²-day algal photosynthesis)

Туре	Ma	x capacity	Feed loading	FCR
	lbs	-fish/acre	(lbs/acre-day)	feed/fish
		199	5-2008 (2 acre)	
PAS	15,0	000-18,000	160/250	1.4-1.6
СР	5,00	00-7,500	100/150	~2.0
		201	4 -2015 (2 acre)	
SP	12,8	00 -14,032	110/280	1.7- 1.9
IP	9,20	0- 18,245	84/270	1.8 -1.9
		201	5- 2020 (7 acre)	
SP ope	en	12,330-19,872	190/210	1.8-2.6
SP cha	nnel	9,830-15,600	105/164	1.9-2.6

Representative Culture Footprint, Aeration Energy, and Yield of Enhanced Catfish Production

System	Fish	Typical	Aeration energy	Yield Range
	Culture	Acres	hp/ac	lb/ac
PAS	5%	2.0	6.0	17,000-18,000
SP	20%	5-7	6-10	13,000-17,000
IP	100%	1-4	6-10	7,000-17,000
IPR*	2%	6	3.0	13,400
CP	100%	5-10	1-2	5,000-8,000

Average PAS production is highest, followed by SP, IP, IPR and CP. Observed fish production in IP is more variable than in SP^(12,13) * IPR highly variable

Algal Removal Mechanism, Density/ Cell Age and Dominant Algal Species in Enhanced Catfish Production Systems

Туре	Algal Density	Algal removal	Algal	Algal cel
	Seechi Disk/TS	S mechanism	genera	age
	(cm / mg/l)	(apparent)		(days)
PAS	18 / 80	tilapia/sedimentation	green	3.3
SP	13 /110	zooplankton/sedimentation	bluegreen ¹	4.6
IP	12 / 115	zooplankton/sedimentation	bluegreen ¹	3.8
СР	13 / 110	zooplankton/sedimentation	bluegreen ²	9.0
	¹ Oscillat	oria		
		oria Nieropystais Anabaana		

² Oscillatoria, Microcystsis Anabaena

PAS algal density 70% of SP, IP and CP and dominated by green algae (because of tilapia feeding). BG algae dominate SP, IP and CP⁽²⁰⁾

Comparison of Functionality of Enhanced Catfish Production Systems: SP vs PAS

PAS operates with shallow water column/high velocity, and high rate of photosynthesis, N recycle and storage in tilapia biomass

SP stores bulk of excreted nitrogen as settled algal biomass in anaerobic waste treatment zone providing increased nitrogen treatment (including nitrification), with improved operator control of ammonia levels within fish culture zone.

IP and CP store settled algal biomass in fish culture sediments, more prone to ammonia release within fish culture zone, driven by changing wind/temperature.

Detailed determination of risk benefit of SP vs IP will required more data on sedimentation and mineralization rates in IP. (21,22)

Enhanced Catfish Production Systems; Projected Investment, Yield, Break-Even Cost, and (2019) Industry Adoption

	Capital	Yield	BE Cost	Industry
	\$/acre	lb/ac	\$/lb	Fraction
PAS	32,000	17,000	1.46	< 1.0%
SP*	7,262	21,258	0.92	7.8%
IP*	5,894	14,989	0.93	39.5%
IPR	22,630	9,463	1.32	<1.0%
CP	4,870	4,800	1.05	52.7%

PAS and IPR highest capital and break-even costs.

SP and IP significantly less capital cost, with similar BE.

CP lowest investment cost with BE similar to SP/IP depending on level of productivity.

*2019 industry average at 9,766 lb/acre using enhanced systems (SP+IP) vs 7,672 lb/acre using non-enhanced ponds^(13,14,15,16,17,18)

Summary

- 1) Two-acre PAS prototypes with paddlewheels (water velocity of 0.38 fps) with fish yield of 15,000 to 18,000 lbs/acre
- 2) Culvert pumps at 8,000 gpm in split-ponds with levee (water velocity of ~ 0.048 fps mixes 33-50% of treatment zone, with fish yield of 9,800 to 15,600 lbs/acre.
- 3) Paddlewheel at 10,000 gpm in split-pond without levee (water velocity ~ 0.06 fps) mixes 50% of treatment zone.
- 4) Paddlewheel at 15,000 gpm in five-acre split-pond without internal levees provides fish yield of 12,330 to 19,872 lbs/acre
- 5) IP wider variation in yield (8,000-19,000 lb/acre) compared to SP (12,000-18,000 lb/ac)
- 6) PAS provide high rate of photosynthesis, N-recycle and N-storage in tilapia biomass yielding consistent control of TAN (< 4 mg/l), SP provides more consistent control of TAN as opposed to IP
- 7) IP requires minimal modification of existing ponds, major cost being addition and maintenance of aerators
- 8) SP requires substantial modification of existing ponds, but provides more predictable increase in fish production and treatment of ammonia nitrogen

Summary continued

9) SP accumulates algal sludge in waste treatment zone separated from fish culture zone; bulk of settled algal biomass is retained in anaerobic zone providing increased nitrogen treatment (including nitrification)
10) IP and CP store settled algal biomass in sediment which is prone to ammonia release within fish culture zone, driven by changing wind/temperature

11) IP (42% of industry) and SP (7.8% of industry) are most cost effective at break-even production cost of \sim \$0.92/lb

12) Detailed determination of risk/benefit of SP vs IP will required more data on solids sedimentation and mineralization rates IP and SP.

References

1) Brune, D. E., Autotrophic and Heterotrophic Water Treatment in Semi-Intensive, Intensive and Super-Intensive Fish and Shrimp Culture, Book Chapter for The Shrimp Book II, Edited by Victoria Alday-Sanz, 5M Books Ltd, United Kingdom, 2022, ISBN 978-1789181043

2) Brune, D.E., Schwartz, G., Eversole, A., Collier J., and T. Schwedler, Intensification of Pond Aquaculture and High-rate Photosynthetic Systems, Aquacultural Engineering 28:65-86, 2003.

3) Brune, D.E., Schwartz, G., Collier, J., Eversole, A., and T. Schwedler. Partitioned Aquaculture Systems. Pages 561-584 in C.S. Tucker and J.A. Hargreaves, editors. Biology and Culture of Channel Catfish. Elsevier Science Publishing, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2004.

4) Brune, D.E., Tucker, C., Massingill, M., and J. Chappell. 2012. Partitioned Aquaculture Systems. Pages 308-342 in J.H. Tidwell, editor, 2012.

5) Brune, D. E., Collier, J., and T. Schwedler, Partitioned Aquaculture System. United States Patent No. 6,192,833. United States Patent Office, Washington, DC, USA, 2001

6) Kumar, G., Li, M., Wise, D., Mischke, C., Rutland, B., Tiwari, A., Aarattuthodiyil, S., Ott, B.D., Torrans, E.L., Tucker, C.S. 2019. Performance of channel catfish and hybrid catfish in single-batch, intensively aerated ponds. North American Journal of Aquaculture. 81:406-416. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/naaq.10109</u>

7) Kumar, G., NWAC Split Pond Productivity, 2015-2020, Personal communication, 2022.

8) Brown, T., Split Pond Productivity Data, Personal communication, 2014

9) Torrans, E.L., and B. Ott, Intensive Production of Hybrid Catfish, World Aquaculture Society meeting, 2016

10) Brown, T., Chappell J., and C. Boyd, A Commercial-scale, In-Pond Raceway System for Ictalurid Catfish Production, Aquacultural Engineering 44:72-79, 2011.

11) Arana E., Chappell, J., Hanson, T., Amezquita J., Romellon F., Quiñonez, A., Lopez Q., and H. Quintero, Commercial Demonstration of In-Pond Raceways, 2018, <u>https://www.globalseafood.org/advocate/commercial-demonstration-of-in-pond-raceways/</u>

12) Kumar, G., Engle, C. R., and C. Tucker, Factors Driving Aquaculture Technology Adoption, Journal of the World Aquaculture Society, 49(3), 447–476. 2018.

References

13) Hegde, S., Kumar, D., Engle, C., Hanson, T., Roy, L., Cheatham, M., Avery, j., Aarattuthodiil, S., Van Senten, J., Johnson, H., Wise, D., Dahl, S., Dorman, L., and M. Peterman, Technological Progress in the US Catfish Industry, World Aquaculture Society Journal, 54:367-383, 2022.

14) Kumar, G., and C. Engle, Economics of Intensively Aerated CatfishPponds. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society, 48(2), 320–332, 2017.

15) Kumar, G., Engle, C., and C. Tucker, Costs and risk of catfish split-pond systems. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society, 47(3), 327–340. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jwas.12271</u>, 2016

16) Goode, T., Hammig, M., and D. Brune. 2002. Profitability comparison of the partitioned aquaculture system with a traditional catfish farm, Aquaculture Economics and Management, 2022.

17) Meade, J., Carbon and Algal Population Dynamics in the Partitioned Aquaculture System< PhD Dissertation, Clemson University, 1998.

18) Avery J., Personnel Communication, January 2021, TAN Levels in Post-Harvested Intensively Aerated Catfish Ponds

19) Tucker C., Mischke C., Brown T., and E. Torrans, Water Quality and Plankton Concentrations in Hybrid Catfish Ponds after Partial Fish Harvest, Journal of World Aquaculture Society, 2017

20) Brune, D., Oxygen and Nitrogen Dynamics in Split Ponds vs. Conventional Catfish Production Ponds, Presentation at World Aquaculture International Symposium, 2016.

21) Brune, D. E., Pote, J., and C. Tucker, Partitioned Pond Aquaculture: Split Pond vs. Partitioned Aquaculture System Performance, World Aquaculture International Symposium, New Orleans, La., March 2019.

22) Schwartz, G., and D. Brune, Modeling Oxygen and Nitrogen Dynamics in the Partitioned Aquaculture System, World Aquaculture International Symposium, New Orleans, La., March 2019.

Presentations/Additional Resources

MU Extension Aquaculture Website

https://extension.missouri.edu/programs/aquaculture-extension

E-mail bruned@missouri.edu